ABSTRACT: Rotator cuff tears are common musculoskeletal injuries often requiring surgical intervention. Unfortunately, post-repair prognosis is poor, with surgical repairs that fail in up to 94% of cases. Repaired tissue tends to be fibrotic, disorganized, and reattaches poorly to the bony insertion. To improve tendon-to-bone healing, many non-invasive therapeutic devices have been utilized post-operatively including therapeutic ultrasound and shock wave therapy.

In orthopaedics, the use of these non-invasive therapeutic devices has become increasingly popular during the post-operative recovery period in an effort to enhance tissue healing. These devices tend to be relatively inexpensive and easily obtainable. They are relatively easy to use, and are especially enticing as they can be brought into the patient’s home and do not require frequent visits to the clinic. Additionally, non-invasive therapeutic devices can be used for a variety of applications, including promoting tissue healing prior to surgical intervention or in lieu of surgical intervention all together.

Although there are numerous advantages to using non-invasive therapeutic devices, their efficacy has not yet been maximized. For example, a recent study comparing shock wave therapy and corticosteroid injection found corticosteroids significantly reduced pain in patients with plantar fasciitis. Although corticosteroids are commonly used as anti-inflammatory pain reducers in orthopaedics, their safety is controversial, and they do not play a role in tissue healing. Therefore, the investigation of alternative non-invasive therapeutic technologies is desirable.

Currently, non-invasive devices emitting pulsed electromagnetic fields (PEMFs) have been approved by the FDA for treatment of long-bone fracture non-unions and as an adjunct to lumbar and cervical spine fusion surgery. Several pre-clinical studies have been conducted examining the effect of PEMF in various biological models including a study examining the effect of PEMF in a rat fibular osteotomy model which found increased bone callus volume, stiffness, and modulus in the PEMF treated group. Another study examining whether PEMF would improve vertebral bone mass in a rat osteoporosis model found that PEMF exposure improved trabecular bone volume in osteoporotic rat vertebrae. Because PEMF therapy is commonly used in bone fracture healing, there may be a potential therapeutic application using PEMF therapy to enhance soft tissue healing as PEMF has also been shown to decrease inflammatory markers. PEMF has been utilized in clinical studies to treat epicondylitis and to improve healing after rotator cuff repair. When compared to sham treatment, patients with epicondylitis receiving PEMF therapy saw improvements in multiple pain parameters for up to 3 months following the onset of treatment. Patients with small to medium rotator cuff tears had improved range of motion 3 months post-op after 6 weeks of PEMF therapy when compared to those receiving placebo. Pre-clinical studies also suggest that PEMF increases tensile strength of repaired Achilles transaction in a rat model. However, investigation of the structural and functional effects of PEMF on tendon-to-bone healing has not yet been evaluated.
Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of PEMF exposure on rotator cuff healing using an established rat rotator cuff acute detachment and repair model. In this study, we asked whether PEMF exposure for 3 h a day, 7 days per week would improve tissue mechanical and morphologic properties, as well as joint function. We hypothesized that PEMF exposure following rotator cuff detachment and repair would: (i) improve healing tendon mechanical properties; (ii) improve tissue morphology including cell shape, cellularity, and collagen fiber organization; and (iii) alter in vivo joint function including ambulation and passive joint mechanics.

METHODS

Study Design

Seventy adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (400–450 g) were used in this University of Pennsylvania Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved study. Animals were housed in a conventional facility in 12-h light/dark cycles and were fed standard rat chow ad libitum. Animals were randomized into one of three treatment groups: (1) acute injury and repair followed by cage activity with PEMF; (2) acute injury and repair followed by cage activity alone; and (3) uninjured control with no surgical intervention. Animals in groups 1 and 2 were sacrificed at 4, 8, and 16 weeks (n = 10 per time point) and animals in group 3 (control) were sacrificed at 8 weeks (n = 10). Additionally, animals in the 16 week time point (8 week for control group) underwent longitudinal in vivo ambulatory assessment and passive shoulder joint mechanics assessments as described below. At the time of sacrifice, left shoulders (n = 10 per group and time point) remained intact for subsequent mechanical testing while right shoulders (n = 7 per group and time point) were immediately dissected and processed for histological analysis. The remaining right shoulders were left intact to serve as additional mechanical testing specimens. The animals were then frozen at −20°C and later thawed for dissection at the time of mechanical testing.

Detachment and Repair Surgery

Animals in groups 1 and 2 were subjected to identical bilateral supraspinatus detachment and repair as described. For analgesia, buprenorphine (0.05 mg/kg) was administered subcutaneously 30 min prior to surgery, 6–8 h post-operatively, and then every 12 h for the next 48 h. Briefly and as described previously, the arm held in the external rotation and adduction, the deltoit muscle was split in the transverse plane to expose the supraspinatus tendon. The tendon was grasped using double-armed 5–0 polypropylene suture (Surgipro II, Covidien, Mansfield, MA) and was reattached under a stereomicroscope. Stain lines were placed along the bony tendon for insertion. For repair, a 5 mm diameter high speed bur (Multipro 395, Dremel, Mt. Prospect, IL) was used to remove remaining fibrocartilage from the footprint of the tendon insertion site. A 0.5 mm bone tunnel was drilled from anterior to posterior through the greater tuberosity of the humerus. The suture was passed through the bone tunnel and tied down, affixing the tendon to the greater tuberosity using a modified Mason–Allen technique. The wound was flushed with saline, and the deltoid and skin sutured closed.

PEMF Exposure

Animals in group 1 received daily 3 h PEMF exposure until the time of sacrifice using a commercial PEMF signal (Physio-Stim®, Orthofix, Inc., Lewisville, TX). The maximum amplitude, fundamental frequency and slew rate of the Physio-Stim® PEMF signal are 0.5 mT, 3.85 kHz, and 15.4 T/s, respectively. Treatment regime was determined based on the FDA approved Physio-Stim® device currently used to treat fracture non-unions. Specifically, 24 h after surgery, animals were placed on a custom built PEMF rack (Orthofix, Inc., Lewisville, TX) with sets of Helmholtz coils for each standard cage (for a total of 16 cages). The PEMF rack was equipped with a timer, which automatically turned off the PEMF signal after 3 h. For quality assurance, the slew rate (dB/dt) for each PEMF coil module were tested twice per week using a transverse search coil placed at the center of each module between the two coils connected to an amplifier and signal integrator and interfaced with an oscilloscope (Orthofix, Inc.). The quality assurance check ensured that the PEMF field uniformity within the entire volume of each cage was within the allowed ±30% of the average slew rate.

Quantitative Ambulatory Assessment

Ground reaction forces (medial/lateral, vertical, braking, propulsion) and temporal spatial parameters (step length and width) were measured using an instrumented walkway. Measurements were taken at baseline and 7, 14, 21, 28, 42, 56, 84, and 112 days (excluding 84 and 112 days in the 8 week control group). Parameters were averaged across walks on a given day and measurements were normalized to body weight.

Passive Joint Mechanics

Passive range of motion and stiffness were measured using a custom device. Briefly, animals were anesthetized and the forearm was placed in a rotating clamp at 90° elbow flexion and 90° glenohumeral forward flexion. The scapula was stabilized manually to isolate glenohumeral motion and the arm was rotated through the full range of internal and external rotation three times. Range of motion was calculated by the average of the three maximum values for internal and external rotation. Joint stiffness was measured in both the toe and linear regions for both internal and external rotation. Measurements were recorded at baseline and at 4, 8, and 16 weeks (excluding 16 weeks in the 8 week control group). All parameters measured were normalized to baseline values.

Tendon Mechanical Testing

Supraspinatus and humerus tendon-bone units were dissected from the shoulder and cleaned of excess soft tissue under a stereomicroscope. Stain lines were placed along the length of the tendon using Verhoeff’s stain for optical strain measurement. Cross sectional area was measured using a custom laser device as previously described. The humerus was embedded in polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and held in a custom fixture. The tendon was affixed between fine grit sand paper using cyanoacrylate and then fixed in a custom grip. Samples were immersed in a 37°C PBS bath and subjected to a mechanical testing protocol consisting of a preload to 0.08 N, 10 cycles of preconditioning (0.1–0.5 N at 1 strain/s), a stress relaxation to 5% strain (5%/s) followed by a 600 s hold, and finally a ramp to failure at 0.3%/s. Following mechanical testing, humeri were wrapped...
in PBS-soaked gauze and frozen at −20°C for subsequent μCT analysis. Stress was calculated as force divided by cross sectional area and 2D Lagrangian optical strain was determined from stain line displacements measured from images taken throughout the test using custom tracking software. Maximum stress and maximum load were calculated only from samples undergoing physiological failure, including failure at the site of injury or in the midsubstance of the tendon.

**Histological Analysis**

Histological analysis was performed to assess cell shape, cellularity, and collagen fiber organization at the injury site and midsubstance of the repaired supraspinatus tendon. The injury site was defined as the central portion of the fibrous scar tissue, and the midsubstance as the region proximal to the myotendinous junction. At the time of sacrifice, supraspinatus-humerus units were immediately dissected, fixed in formalin, and processed using standard paraffin techniques. 7 μm sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) to assess cell shape, cellularity, and collagen fiber orientation. Cell shape and cellularity were evaluated by three blinded graders using a semi-quantitative method. Images were graded between 1 and 3 (1 = higher number of cells and more rounded shape and 3 = fewer cells and more spindle shaped). A grading scale was created within the set of images at each time point, and at least two images per region were assessed per specimen. To define the grading scale, images were blinded and sorted from most to least number of cells (for cellularity) and roundest to most spindle shaped (for cell shape). The ranked images were divided into three equal groups (Grades 1–3), and the median image from each group was assigned as a “standard” for the corresponding grades (1–3). Circular standard deviation of collagen fibers was determined by images taken with a polarizing microscope and analysis with custom software as described previously.21,26,27

**μ-Computed Tomography**

μCT scans were performed on the proximal humerus of a subset of humeri (n = 6 per group and time point) (vivaCT 40, Scanco Medical AG, Bruttisellen Switzerland). The proximal growth plate was identified, and the region of interest was defined as the 5 mm proximal from the growth plate, which included the greater tuberosity. Scans were performed at 10.5 μm resolution. Parameters included bone mineral density, bone mineral content, bone volume fraction, total bone volume, connectivity density, trabecular number, trabecular spacing, and trabecular thickness.

**Statistical Analysis**

Sample sizes were determined using a priori power analyses. All statistical comparisons were made between the PEMF and non-PEMF groups at the same time points. Mechanical testing, μCT, and collagen fiber organization comparisons were made using student’s t-tests with significance set at p ≤ 0.05. Semi-quantitative histological comparisons were made using Mann–Whitney U-tests with significance set at p ≤ 0.05. Ambulatory assessment comparisons were made using a two-way ANOVA with repeated measures on time with follow-up t-tests between groups at each time point. Multiple imputations were calculated for a repeated measures analysis for missing data points (~10% per day). Significance was set at p ≤ 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Quantitative Ambulatory Assessment**

Results indicate no significant difference between the PEMF and non-PEMF groups (Fig. 1).

**Passive Joint Mechanics**

Results indicate no significant difference between the PEMF and non-PEMF groups (Fig. 2).

**Tendon Mechanical Properties**

At 4 weeks, the PEMF group had a significantly smaller cross-sectional area compared to the non-PEMF group (Fig. 3A). No differences were detected in cross-sectional area at 8 and 16 weeks. There were no significant differences in percent relaxation at any time point (Fig. 3B). At 4 and 8 weeks, the PEMF

![Figure 1](attachment:figure1.png)  
*Figure 1.* Ambulatory assessment of left limbs before and after injury and repair did not detect any significant differences in A: braking force, B: propulsion force, C: medial/lateral force, D: stance time, E: stride length, or F: rate of loading at any time point. Data represented as mean ± SD.
group had significantly increased modulus (100% at 4 weeks, 60% at 8 weeks) compared to the non-PEMF group, with no differences detected at 16 weeks (Fig. 3C). No differences were detected in stiffness at any time point (Fig. 3D). At 4 weeks, the PEMF group had significantly increased maximum stress compared to the non-PEMF group, with no differences at 8 and 16 weeks (Fig. 3E). No differences were detected in maximum load at any time point (Fig. 3F). At 8 and 16 weeks, tendons often failed at a non-physiological location, primarily at the mechanical testing grip fixture due to high stress concentration. Maximum stress and maximum load data for tendons which did not fail physiologically (i.e., fail at the injury site) were excluded, resulting in a smaller sample size for these measurements. Therefore, remaining right shoulders ($n = 3$)group/time point) were tested, but failure location in these samples was also variable. Maximum stress and maximum load for control samples were not calculated for the same reason. There were no

Figure 2. Shoulder joint stiffness and range of motion. No significant differences were noted in A: internal toe stiffness, B: internal linear stiffness, C: internal range of motion, D: external toe stiffness, E: external linear stiffness, or F: external range of motion at any time point. Data represented as mean ± SD.

Figure 3. Tendon mechanical properties. A: Cross-sectional area was significantly decreased in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group at 4 weeks. No differences were noted at 8 and 16 weeks (Control area = 1.34 mm²). B: No differences in percent relaxation were noted at any time point (Control % relaxation = 47%). C: Modulus was significantly increased by 100% at 4 weeks and 60% at 8 weeks in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group. No differences were noted at 16 weeks (Control modulus = 290.3 MPa). D: No differences were noted in stiffness at any time point (Control stiffness = 31.15 N/mm). E: Maximum stress was significantly increased at 4 weeks in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group. No differences were noted at 8 and 16 weeks. No error bar is present in the PEMF group at 8 weeks due to small sample size with physiological failure ($n = 2$). F: No differences were noted in maximum load at any time point. Data represented as mean ± SD. Due to non-physiological failure location (typically at 8 and 16 weeks); maximum stress and maximum load data points which did not fail physiologically (i.e., at the injury site) were excluded. Control maximum stress and maximum load were not calculated for a similar reason.
differences in failure location for PEMF and non-PEMF specimens (data not shown).

**Histological Analysis**
Representative images of the injury and midsubstance locations for PEMF and non-PEMF treated tendons display similarities in histological characteristics at all time points (Fig. 4). At the injury site, no differences were detected between the PEMF and non-PEMF group in both cell shape and cellularity at any time point (Fig. 5A and B). Additionally, no differences were observed in collagen fiber organization at the injury site (Fig. 6A). In the midsubstance, at 8 weeks the PEMF group had significantly more rounded cells compared to the non-PEMF group (Fig. 5D). For collagen fiber organization, the PEMF group had trends towards significantly decreased circular standard deviation at both 8 and 16 weeks (Fig. 6B). No other differences were observed in the midsubstance at any time point.

**μ-Computed Tomography**
At 4 weeks, trabecular thickness was significantly decreased in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group (Fig. 7H). Additionally, at 4 weeks, connectivity density was significantly increased in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group (Fig. 7E). At 8 weeks, no differences were observed in any parameter. At 16 weeks, the PEMF group had significantly increased bone volume fraction, trabecular thickness, and bone mineral density, and a trend toward increased bone mineral content compared to the non-PEMF group (Fig. 7).

**DISCUSSION**
This study examined the effect of exposure of a commercially available and FDA approved PEMF waveform on tendon-to-bone healing in a rat rotator cuff model. Overall, results suggest that PEMF exposure has a positive effect on early rat rotator cuff healing. Specifically, mechanical properties were drastically improved in the PEMF group at both 4 and 8 weeks. Of note, modulus was increased by 100% at 4 weeks and 60% at 8 weeks, which is a significant improvement in tissue properties. Histological analysis showed a more rounded cell shape in the PEMF group at 8 weeks in the midsubstance. This slight but significant finding might suggest inferior tissue, although this difference did not result in inferior mechanical properties and was not noted histologically at 16 weeks. The more rounded cell shape in the PEMF group at 8 weeks also suggests the cells are metabolically active due to the PEMF exposure, which might support improved mechanical properties at that time point. Additionally, collagen fiber organization in the midsubstance at 8 and 16 weeks showed the PEMF group had trends toward significantly decreased circular standard deviation, suggesting the tissue might be more organized in the PEMF group, which may be related to the improved mechanical properties due to previous findings indicating a strong structure-function relationship in tendon, where fiber alignment was found to correlate significantly with mechanical parameters. Lastly, in vivo ambulatory assessment and shoulder joint function showed minimal differences between groups. Particularly, when comparing to the control group (although no statistical comparisons were made), it is apparent that the two
surgical groups were similar to the control (Figs. 1 and 2). This finding implies that the PEMF and non-PEMF groups recover function concurrently and that PEMF does not negatively affect ambulation and shoulder function. Overall, results suggest that PEMF improves early tendon-to-bone healing specifically through an improvement of tendon mechanical properties. We speculate that PEMF treatment may increase tendon cell metabolism, in turn increasing matrix production and collagen remodeling, reflected in improved mechanical properties and increased collagen alignment. A small rotator cuff repair clinical trial utilizing a different PEMF signal demonstrated early increases in range of motion and functional scores.\textsuperscript{14} Our findings provide further evidence of improvements in mechanical properties and matrix organization, supporting further investigation into clinical use of this therapy for various PEMF waveforms.

Results from this study may support previously reported findings using Physio-Stim\textsuperscript{1} PEMF therapy to treat healing bone fractures.\textsuperscript{10,11} \textmu CT analysis shows improved bone properties in the PEMF group (Fig. 7) after transosseous supraspinatus repair, which further supports findings of PEMF exposure leading to improved bone growth and healing. Therefore, the current study supports the use of PEMF for a rotator cuff tendon-to-bone healing in both tendon and bone.

This study is not without limitations. Although the rat rotator cuff repair model is well established and published in over 140 full-length papers to date, animal models do not exactly mimic the clinical scenario. Additionally, this study utilized an acute
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**Figure 5.** Histological analysis. A: No differences were noted at the injury site in cellularity or B: cell shape at any time point. C: No differences were noted in cellularity in the midsubstance. D: At the midsubstance, cells were more rounded at 8 weeks in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group. Shapes denote individual sample scores. Bars denote median score (\(p < 0.05\)).
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**Figure 6.** Collagen fiber alignment. No differences were noted at the injury site in collagen fiber alignment. At the midsubstance, circular standard deviation trended toward lower values (more organized) at 8 and 16 weeks in the PEMF group compared to the non-PEMF group.
supraspinatus detachment and repair whereas clinically rotator cuff tears are typically chronic conditions resultant from repeated overhead motions and overuse. Mechanical analysis was limited by the occurrence of non-physiological failure during testing, and histological analysis was limited by the semi-quantitative nature of the evaluation. Lastly, animals were exposed to a systemic PEMF signal. Current FDA approved devices deliver PEMF locally to the healing tissue. Although systemic PEMF exposure did not seem to negatively affect the animals, future studies might examine the effects of localized PEMF exposure.

As this is the first known study to examine and support the effect of PEMF exposure on tendon-to-bone healing in a well-accepted animal model, many future avenues of study can be investigated. First, the PEMF signal intensity, frequency, and duration are variable, and the PEMF signal might be optimized to even further enhance tendon-to-bone healing. Second, this study only examined supraspinatus tendon-to-bone healing. Investigating the effects on other commonly injured tissues including Achilles tendon, patellar tendon, anterior cruciate ligament, joint replacement, and many other orthopaedic applications may be advantageous. Additionally, PEMF therapy might also be evaluated in tendons and ligaments with diagnosed tendinitis, tendinopathy, or partial tears to avoid or postpone surgical intervention. This application could help to reduce health care costs and potential morbidities associated with anesthesia and surgical procedures.

In conclusion, PEMF exposure improves early tendon-to-bone healing in an acute rat supraspinatus detachment and repair model supporting the use in a clinical scenario of rotator cuff healing.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTIONS
All authors have read and approved final version of manuscript. Contributions are as follows: JJT—research design, acquisition of data, data analysis and interpretation, drafting and revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions; JMC—acquisition of data, data analysis and interpretation, approval of submitted and final versions; TRM—acquisition of data, approval of submitted and final versions; CAN—acquisition of data, data analysis, approval of submitted and final versions; JH—data analysis and interpretation, revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions; EIW—research design, revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions; NZ—research design, revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions; JTR—research design, revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions; LJS—research design, data interpretation, revision of paper, approval of submitted and final versions.

REFERENCES


